

CREATES Research Paper 2007-42

Power variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments

Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen, José Manuel Corcuera and Mark Podolskij



School of Economics and Management University of Aarhus Building 1322, DK-8000 Aarhus C Denmark



Power variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments *

Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen University of Aarhus and CREATES †

José Manuel Corcuera University of Barcelona[‡]

Mark Podolskij University of Aarhus and CREATES §

Abstract

We develop the asymptotic theory for the realised power variation of the processes $X = \phi \bullet G$, where G is a Gaussian process with stationary increments. More specifically, under some mild assumptions on the variance function of the increments of G and certain regularity condition on the path of the process ϕ we prove the convergence in probability for the properly normalised realised power variation. Moreover, under a further assumption on the Hölder index of the path of ϕ , we show an associated stable central limit theorem. The main tool is a general central limit theorem, due essentially to Hu & Nualart (2005), Nualart & Peccati (2005) and Peccati & Tudor (2005), for sequences of random variables which admit a chaos representation.

Keywords: Central Limit Theorem; Chaos Expansion; Gaussian Processes; High-Frequency Data; Multiple Wiener-Itô Integrals; Power Variation.

JEL Classification: C10, C13, C14.

^{*}We thank Giovanni Peccati and Svend-Erik Graversen for helpful comments and suggestions. Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen and Mark Podolskij acknowledge financial support from CREATES funded by the Danish National Research Foundation, and from Thiele Centre. The work of José Manuel Corcuera is supported by the MEC Grant No. MTM2006-03211.

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade, DK–8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. e-mail: oebn@imf.au.dk

[‡]Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain. e-mail: jmcorcuera@ub.edu.

[§]CREATES, School of Economics and Management, University of Aarhus, Building 1322, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. e-mail: mpodolskij@creates.au.dk.

1 Introduction

This paper establishes results on convergence in probability and in law stably for (properly normalised) realised power variations of processes of the form $X = \phi \bullet G$. Here G is a Gausssian process with stationary increments whose increments have a variance function that satisfies certain regularity conditions, and G and the process ϕ are defined on one and the same filtered probability space. The special case of $\phi \bullet G$ where ϕ is a constant and G is itself stationary was treated in an early paper [17].

In general, processes of type $\phi \bullet G$ are not semimartingales and the proofs of the limit results use the theory of isonormal processes and techniques developed in [15] for deriving similar limit results for processes $\phi \bullet B^H$ where B^H denotes fractional Brownian motion. For Itô semimartingales, both one- and multi-dimensional, an extensive theory of realised power and multipower variations is available. For discussions of this theory and its applications, see [2], [3], [5],[6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [19], [21], [29] and [30]. General and sharp criteria for when a process of the form $\phi \bullet G$ is a semimartingale are available in [11] and [12].

Section 2 sets up the problem and exemplifies the kind of processes G to which the theory applies, and the convergence in probability and central limit results for processes $\phi \bullet G$ are given in Sections 3 and 5, respectively. Section 4 derives a multivariate central limit theorem (via chaos expansions) which should be of wide general interest. In particular it covers infill asymptotics (or triangular array schemes). The main building blocks in the theorem are contained in the recent papers [18], [24] and [26]. The concluding Section 6 indicates lines for further research. Most of the proofs are relegated to an Appendix.

2 The setting

We start with a Gaussian process $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined on a filtered complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, P)$, which has centered and stationary increments. We define R as the variance function of the increments of G, i.e.

$$R(t) = E[|G_{s+t} - G_s|^2], \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(2.1)

In this paper we consider a process of the form

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \phi_s \ dG_s \ , \tag{2.2}$$

defined on the same probability space as G, which is assumed to be observed at time points i/n, i = 0, 1, ..., [nt]. We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the (properly normalized) realised power variation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} |\Delta_i^n X|^p , \qquad (2.3)$$

with $\triangle_i^n X = X_{\frac{i}{n}} - X_{\frac{i-1}{n}}$, for p > 0.

Before we proceed with the asymptotic results for the functionals defined in (2.3) we need to ensure that the integral in (2.2) is well-defined in a suitable sense. For this purpose we use the concept of a pathwise Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

Recall that for a real-valued function $f:[0,t] \to \mathbb{R}$ the r-variation is defined as

$$\operatorname{var}_{r}(f;[0,t]) = \sup_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(t_{i}) - f(t_{i-1})|^{r} \right)^{1/r}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $\pi = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = t\}$. Trivially, when f is α -Hölder continuous it has finite $1/\alpha$ -variation on any compact interval. In this case we set

$$||f||_{\alpha} = \sup_{0 \le s < u \le t} \frac{|f(s) - f(u)|}{|s - u|^{\alpha}}.$$
(2.5)

In [31] it is shown that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_0^t f(s)dg(s)$ exists if f and g have finite q-variation and r-variation, respectively, in the interval [0, t], where 1/r + 1/q > 1, and these functions have no common discontinuities.

In order to give a statement about r-variation of the Gaussian process G we require the following assumption on the behaviour of the function R defined in (2.1).

(A1) $R(t) = t^{\beta}L_0(t)$ for some $\beta \in (0,2)$ and some positive slowly varying (at 0) function L_0 , which is continuous on $(0,\infty)$.

Recall that a function $L: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called slowly varying at 0 when the identity

$$\lim_{x \searrow 0} \frac{L(tx)}{L(x)} = 1 \tag{2.6}$$

holds for any fixed t > 0. Provided L is continuous on $(0, \infty)$, we have

$$|L(x)| \le Cx^{-\alpha} , \qquad x \in (0,t]$$

$$(2.7)$$

for any $\alpha > 0$ and any t > 0 (where the constant C > 0 depends on α and t). See [14] (Page 16) for similar properties of slowly varying functions at ∞ . Assumption (A1) implies the identity

$$E[|G_t - G_s|^2] = |t - s|^\beta L_0(|t - s|) , \qquad (2.8)$$

from which we deduce (by (2.7)) that the trajectories of G are $(\beta/2 - \epsilon)$ -Hölder continuous (almost surely) for any $\epsilon \in (0, \beta/2)$. Clearly, G has finite r-variation for any $r > 2/\beta$ and

$$\operatorname{var}_{r}(G;[a,b]) \le C|b-a|^{1/r}$$
 a.s. (2.9)

for any $0 \le a < b \le t$ and for some constant C which depends on t and r. Consequently, the integral in (2.2) is well-defined (as a pathwise Riemann-Stieltjes integral) for any stochastic process ϕ of finite q-variation with $q < \frac{1}{1-\beta/2}$.

In the following we study the asymptotic properties of the process

$$V(X,p)_t^n = \frac{1}{n\tau_n^p} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} |\Delta_i^n X|^p , \qquad (2.10)$$

where $\tau_n^2 = R(\frac{1}{n}) = E[|\Delta_i^n G|^2]$ and p > 0.

3 Convergence in probability

In this section we prove the convergence in probability for the quantity $V(X, p)_t^n$. For this purpose we require the following additional assumptions on the variance function R:

(A2) $R''(t) = t^{\beta-2}L_2(t)$ for some slowly varying function L_2 , which is continuous on $(0,\infty)$.

(A3) There exists $b \in (0, 1)$ with

$$K = \limsup_{x \to 0} \sup_{y \in [x, x^b]} \left| \frac{L_2(y)}{L_0(x)} \right| < \infty.$$

We start with proving the weak law of large numbers for the sequence $V(G, p)_t^n$. Throughout this paper we write $Y^n \xrightarrow{ucp} Y$ when $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_t^n - Y_t| \xrightarrow{P} 0$ for any T > 0.

Proposition 1 Assume that conditions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Then we have

$$V(G,p)_t^n \xrightarrow{ucp} \mu_p t , \qquad (3.1)$$

where $\mu_p = E[|U|^p], U \sim N(0, 1).$

Proof: see Appendix.

Remark 1 The rather technical condition (A3) can be replaced by the following (weaker) assumption:

$$\left|\frac{R(\frac{j+1}{n}) + R(\frac{j-1}{n}) - 2R(\frac{j}{n})}{2R(\frac{1}{n})}\right| \le r(j) , \qquad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} r^2(j) \to 0 , \qquad (3.2)$$

for some sequence r(j) (see Lemma 1 and the proof of Theorem 1 in the Appendix).

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Assume that conditions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied and the process $(\phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has finite q-variation with $q < \frac{1}{1-\beta/2}$. Then we have

$$V(X,p)_t^n \xrightarrow{ucp} \mu_p \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \, ds.$$
(3.3)

Proof: see Appendix.

Example 3 (Cauchy class) For modelling purposes, an interesting and flexible class of processes is given by $(G_{\alpha,\gamma})$, where $G_{\alpha,\gamma}$'s are stationary centered Gaussian processes with variance 1 and the autocorrelation function

$$h(t) = (1 + |t|^{\alpha})^{-\gamma/\alpha}.$$

Here the parameters have to satisfy $\alpha \in (0,2]$ and $\gamma > 0$ (see [16]). With $\bar{h}(t) = 1 - h(t)$ we find, for t > 0,

$$h(t) = t^{\alpha} L_0(t)$$

with

$$L_0(t) = \frac{(1+t^{\alpha})^{\gamma/\alpha} - 1}{t^{\alpha}(1+t^{\alpha})^{\gamma/\alpha}}$$

Further,

$$\bar{h}'(t) = \gamma t^{\alpha - 1} (1 + t^{\alpha})^{-\gamma/\alpha - 1}$$

and

$$\bar{h}''(t) = t^{\alpha-2}L_2(t)$$
, $L_2(t) = -\gamma((\gamma+1)t^{\alpha} - (\alpha-1))(1+t^{\alpha})^{-\gamma/\alpha-2}$.

Both L_0 and L_2 are slowly varying. Now,

$$L_{2}'(t) = -\alpha\gamma t^{\alpha-1}(1+t^{\alpha})^{-\gamma/\alpha-3} \left[\gamma + 1 + (\alpha-1)(\gamma/\alpha+2) - (\gamma+1)(\gamma/\alpha+1)t^{\alpha}\right]$$

showing that $L_2(t)$ is decreasing or increasing in a neighbourhood of 0 depending on whether α is greater or smaller than c_{γ} where c_{γ} denotes the positive root of the equation $\gamma + 1 + (\alpha - 1)(\gamma/\alpha + 2) = 0$. In any case,

$$\sup_{y \in [x,x^b]} \left| \frac{L_2(y)}{L_0(x)} \right| \to |\alpha - 1|\alpha < \infty ,$$

as $x \to 0$, for any $b \in (0,1)$. Thus conditions (A1)-(A3) are fulfilled for any $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $\gamma > 0$, and Proposition 1 and Theorem 2 apply to the class $(G_{\alpha,\gamma})_{\alpha \in (0,2), \gamma > 0}$.

4 A general multivariate central limit theorem via chaos expansion

In this section we present a multivariate central limit theorem for a sequence of random variables which admit a chaos representation. This result is based on the theory for multiple stochastic integrals developed in [24], [26] and [18] (and it appears implicitly in [15]). The central limit theorem will be used to show the weak convergence of the process $V(G, p)_t^n$. However, the limit results of this section might be of interest for many other applications.

Let us recall the basic notions of the theory of multiple stochastic integrals. Consider a separable Hilbert space \mathbb{H} . For any $m \geq 1$, we define $\mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}$ to be the *m*th tensor product of \mathbb{H} and we write $\mathbb{H}^{\odot m}$ for the *m*th symmetric tensor product of \mathbb{H} , which is endowed with the

modified norm $\sqrt{m!} || \cdot ||_{\mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}}$. A centered Gaussian family $B = \{B(h) | h \in \mathbb{H}\}$, defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , is called an isornormal process on \mathbb{H} when

$$E[B(h)B(g)] = \langle h, g \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} , \qquad \forall h, g \in \mathbb{H}.$$

In this section we assume that \mathcal{F} is generated by B. For $m \geq 1$, we denote by \mathcal{H}_m the mth Wiener chaos associated with B, i.e. the closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ generated by the random variables $H_m(B(h))$, where $h \in \mathbb{H}$ with $||h||_{\mathbb{H}} = 1$ and H_m is the mth Hermite polynomial. Recall that the Hermite polynomials $(H_m)_{m\geq 0}$ are defined as follows:

$$H_0(x) = 1 ,$$

$$H_m(x) = (-1)^m e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} (e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) , \qquad m \ge 1$$

The first three Hermite polynomials are $H_1(x) = x$, $H_2(x) = x^2 - 1$ and $H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x$. By I_m we denote the linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product $\mathbb{H}^{\odot m}$, equipped with the norm $\sqrt{m!} \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}}$, and the *m*th Wiener chaos that is defined by

$$I_m(h^{\otimes m}) = H_m(B(h))$$

(see, for instance, Chapter 1 in [23] for more details).

For any $h = h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_m$ and $g = g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \in \mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}$, we define the *p*th contraction of h and g, denoted by $h \otimes_p g$, as the element of $\mathbb{H}^{\otimes 2(m-p)}$ given by

$$h \otimes_p g = < h_{m-p+1}, g_1 >_{\mathbb{H}} \cdots < h_m, g_p >_{\mathbb{H}} h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{m-p} \otimes g_{p+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m.$$

This can be extended by linearity to any element of $\mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}$. Note that if h and g belong to $\mathbb{H}^{\odot m}$, $h \otimes_p g$ does not necessarily belong to $\mathbb{H}^{\odot(2m-p)}$. For any $h = h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_m \in \mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}$, we denote by $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{H}^{\odot m}$ the symmetrization of h, i.e.

$$\tilde{h} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\zeta \in S_m} h_{\zeta(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{\zeta(m)} ,$$

where S_m is the group of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Moreover, we write $h \otimes_p g$ for the symmetrization of $h \otimes_p g$.

Now, we present a multivariate central limit theorem which is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 in [26] (and the proofs therein).

Theorem 4 Consider a collection of natural numbers $m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_d$ and a collection of elements

$$\{(f_n^1, \dots, f_n^d) | n \ge 1\}$$

such that $f_n^k \in \mathbb{H}^{\odot m_k}$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) For any k, l = 1, ..., d we have constants C_{kl} such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} m_k! ||f_n^k||_{H^{\otimes m_k}}^2 = C_{kk} ,$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E[I_{m_k}(f_n^k)I_{m_l}(f_n^l)] = C_{kl} , \qquad k \neq l$$

and the matrix $C = (C_{kl})_{1 \le k, l \le d}$ is positive definite.

(2) For every $k = 1, \ldots, d$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n^k \otimes_p f_n^k||_{H^{\otimes 2(m_k-p)}}^2 = 0$$

for any $p = 1, ..., m_k - 1$.

Then we obtain the central limit theorem

$$\left(I_{m_1}(f_n^1), \dots, I_{m_d}(f_n^d)\right)^T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_d(0, C).$$

$$(4.1)$$

Notice that C_{kl} in (1) of Theorem 4 is equal to 0 when $m_k \neq m_l$, because I_{m_k} and I_{m_l} are orthogonal by construction.

Finally, we consider a *d*-dimensional process $Y_n = (Y_n^1, \ldots, Y_n^d)^T$, defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , which has a chaos representation

$$Y_n^k = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m(f_{m,n}^k) , \qquad k = 1, \dots, d , \qquad (4.2)$$

with $f_{m,n}^k \in \mathbb{H}^{\odot m}$. Notice that $EY_n = 0$. The following result provides a central limit theorem for the sequence Y_n .

Theorem 5 Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) For any $k = 1, \ldots, d$ we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} m! ||f_{m,n}^k||_{H^{\otimes m}}^2 = 0.$$

(ii) For any $m \geq 1, k, l = 1, \ldots, d$ we have constants C_{kl}^m such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} m! ||f_{m,n}^k||_{H^{\otimes m}}^2 = C_{kk}^m ,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E[I_m(f_{m,n}^k)I_m(f_{m,n}^l)] = C_{kl}^m , \qquad k \neq l ,$$

and the matrix $C^m = (C_{kl}^m)_{1 \le k, l \le d}$ is positive definite for all m.

(iii) $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C^m = C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

(iv) For any $m \ge 1$, k = 1, ..., d and p = 1, ..., m - 1

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_{m,n}^k \otimes_p f_{m,n}^k||_{H^{\otimes 2(m-p)}}^2 = 0.$$

Then we have

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_d(0, C).$$
 (4.3)

Proof: Define the "truncated" random variable $Y_{n,N} = (Y_{n,N}^1, \ldots, Y_{n,N}^d)^T$ by

$$Y_{n,N}^k = \sum_{m=1}^N I_m(f_{m,n}^k) , \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Since I_{m_1} and I_{m_2} are orthogonal when $m_1 \neq m_2$, Theorem 4 implies (under conditions (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 5) that

$$Y_{n,N} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi_N \sim N_d \left(0, \sum_{m=1}^N C^m \right)$$

for a fixed N. By assumption (iii) we obtain the convergence in distribution

$$\xi_N \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \xi \sim N_d \Big(0, C \Big)$$

as $N \to \infty$. Finally, condition (i) and the Markov inequality imply

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} P(||Y_{n,N} - Y_n||_{\infty} \ge \delta) = 0$$

for any $\delta > 0$ (here $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$ denotes the maximum norm). By standard arguments we obtain the desired result.

5 A stable central limit theorem for power variation

First, we present a functional central limit theorem for the sequence $V(G, p)_t^n$. In the following discussion we use the notation

$$H(x) = |x|^p - \mu_p.$$
(5.1)

Notice that the function H has the representation

$$H(x) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j H_j(x) ,$$
 (5.2)

where $a_2 > 0$ and $(H_j)_{j\geq 0}$ are Hermite polynomials. Under a restriction on the parameter β we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6 Assume that conditions (A1)-(A3) hold and $0 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$. Then we obtain the weak convergence (in the space $\mathcal{D}([0,T])^2$ equipped with the Skorohod topology)

$$\left(G_t, \sqrt{n}(V(G, p)_t^n - t\mu_p)\right) \Longrightarrow \left(G_t, \tau W_t\right) , \qquad (5.3)$$

where W is a Brownian motion that is defined on an extension of the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, P)$ and is independent of \mathcal{F} , and τ^2 is given by

$$\tau^2 = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j! a_j^2 \lambda_j^2 , \qquad \lambda_j^2 = 1 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left((l-1)^\beta - 2l^\beta + (l+1)^\beta \right)^j}{2^j}.$$
 (5.4)

Proof: see Appendix.

Remark 2 Theorem 6 applies to the Cauchy class $(G_{\alpha,\gamma})$ (with $\gamma > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0,2)$) of Gaussian processes that has been introduced in Example 3.

The proof of Theorem 6 relies on the central limit theorem presented in Theorem 5. In [15] the result of Theorem 6 is shown (with the same limit) for the case of fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ (the parameter β corresponds to 2H). Their derivation relies on the selfsimilarity of the fractional Brownian motion. The asymptotic theory presented in this section provides a natural extension of their work to general Gaussian processes with stationary increments.

Remark 3

(i) A central limit theorem for the quantity $V(G, p)_1^n$ (i.e. for t = 1) was originally proved in [17] under assumptions (A1)-(A3). For Theorem 6 the technical condition (A3) can be replaced by the weaker assumption:

$$\left|\frac{R(\frac{j+1}{n}) + R(\frac{j-1}{n}) - 2R(\frac{j}{n})}{2R(\frac{1}{n})}\right| \le \tilde{r}(j) , \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{r}^2(j) < \infty , \qquad (5.5)$$

for some sequence $\tilde{r}(j)$. Notice that (5.5) implies the condition (3.2) in Remark 1 with $r(j) = \tilde{r}(j)$ for all $j \ge 1$. See Lemma 1 and the proof of Theorem 6 in the Appendix for more details.

(ii) Furthermore, in [17] it is shown that the limit of the second component in (5.3) is an element of the second Wiener chaos when G is a stationary Gaussian process with $EG_t = 0$, $EG_t^2 = 1$, and $\frac{3}{2} < \beta < 2$. For the covariance function $\tilde{R}(t) = E[G_sG_{s+t}]$ they assume the following conditions: $1 - \tilde{R}(t)$ satisfies (A1), $|\tilde{R}''|$ satisfies (A2) with $L_2(x) = \beta(1-\beta)L_0(x)(1+o(1))$ near 0, $|\tilde{R}''|$ is decreasing near 0 and (A3) holds. Under these assumptions they have proved the convergence

$$n^{2-\beta}L_0\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)(V(G,p)_1^n-\mu_p) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{p\mu_p}{4}\mathcal{I}_2 ,$$

where \mathcal{I}_2 is the Wiener-Itô integral

$$\mathcal{I}_{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{e^{i(x_{1}+x_{2})} - 1}{i(x_{1}+x_{2})} f^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{1}) f^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{2}) W(dx_{1}) W(dx_{2})$$

W is a Brownian motion and f is given by

$$f(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} \tilde{R}''(|t|) dt.$$

If $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$ both limits can appear: when \tilde{R}'' is integrable near 0 we obtain an element of the second Wiener chaos in the limit, whereas the limit is normal when \tilde{R}'' is not integrable near 0 (although the convergence rate changes). However, functional central limit theorems for $\frac{3}{2} < \beta < 2$ remain an unsolved problem.

Notice that the weak convergence in (5.3) is equivalent to the stable convergence (in $\mathcal{D}([0,T])^2$)

$$\sqrt{n}(V(G,p)_t^n - t\mu_p) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^G - st} \tau W_t , \qquad (5.6)$$

where \mathcal{F}^G denotes the σ -algebra generated by the process G (see [1], [20] or [27] for more details on stable convergence). The latter result is crucial for proving a functional central limit theorem for the sequence $V(X, p)_t^n$ for \mathcal{F}^G -measurable processes ϕ .

Theorem 7 Suppose that ϕ is \mathcal{F}^G -measurable and has Hölder continuous trajectories of order $a > 1/2(p \wedge 1)$. When $0 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$ and assumptions (A1)-(A3) hold we obtain the stable convergence

$$\sqrt{n} \left(V(X,p)_t^n - \mu_p \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \ ds \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^G - st} \tau \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \ dW_s \tag{5.7}$$

in the space $\mathcal{D}([0,T])^2$.

Proof: see Appendix.

Remark 4 Notice that if $\phi_t = f(G_t)$ for some smooth function f, the conditions of Theorem 7 imply that $p > 1/\beta$ and $\beta \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$. This leads to a serious restriction on the parameters p and β .

On the other hand, Theorem 7 remains valid when the process ϕ is independent of G (this follows from Theorem 6 if we replace the process G by ϕ). In this case we only require the condition $a > 1/2(p \wedge 1)$.

Applying the properties of stable convergence we can obtain a feasible version of Theorem 7. Since $V(X, 2p)_t^n \xrightarrow{P} \mu_{2p} \int_0^t \phi_s^{2p} ds$, we deduce the following result.

Corollary 1 For any fixed t > 0, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\Big(V(X,p)_t^n - \mu_p \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \ ds\Big)}{\sqrt{\mu_{2p}^{-1} \tau^2 V(X,2p)_t^n}} \stackrel{\mathcal{F}^G \to s}{\longrightarrow} U \ ,$$

where U is independent of \mathcal{F} and $U \sim N(0, 1)$.

6 Conclusion

The results derived in the present paper constitute a natural extension of earlier work on power variation, as indicated in the Introduction. The possibility of further extension to bipower, and more generally multipower, variations is under consideration. From another point of view, the results provide a step in a larger project that aim to develop probabilistic and inferential procedures for the study of volatility modulated Volterra processes, as defined in [4]. Finally, closer links to Malliavin calculus, cf. [22] or [25], offer exciting prospects.

7 Appendix

In the following we denote all constants which do not depend on n by C. Throughout this section we use the notation

$$r_n(j) = \operatorname{Cov}\left(\frac{\Delta_1^n G}{\tau_n}, \frac{\Delta_{1+j}^n G}{\tau_n}\right), \qquad j \ge 0.$$
(7.1)

By the triangular identity we know that

$$r_n(j) = \frac{R(\frac{j+1}{n}) + R(\frac{j-1}{n}) - 2R(\frac{j}{n})}{2R(\frac{1}{n})} , \qquad j \ge 1 , \qquad (7.2)$$

where the function R is given by (2.1). First, let us prove the following technical lemma which extends Lemma 2 and 3 in [17].

Lemma 1 Suppose that conditions (A1)-(A3) hold. Let $\epsilon > 0$ with $\epsilon < 2 - \beta$. Define the sequence r(j) by

$$r(j) = (j-1)^{\beta+\epsilon-2}, \qquad j \ge 2$$
, (7.3)

and r(0) = r(1) = 1. Then we obtain the following assertions:

(i) It holds that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}r^{2}(j)\to 0.$$

If, moreover, $\beta + \epsilon - 2 < -\frac{1}{2}$ it holds that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^2(j) < \infty$$

(ii) For any $0 < \epsilon < 2 - \beta$ from (7.3) there exists a natural number $n_0(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|r_n(j)| \le Cr(j) , \qquad j \ge 0$$

for all $n \ge n_0(\epsilon)$.

(iii) Set
$$\rho(0) = 1$$
 and $\rho(j) = \frac{1}{2} \left((j-1)^{\beta} - 2j^{\beta} + (j+1)^{\beta} \right)$ for $j \ge 1$. Then it holds that $|r_n(j)| \to \rho(j)$

for any $j \ge 0$.

(iv) For $0 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$ and any $l \ge 2$ we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} r_n^l(j) \to \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^l(j).$$

Proof of Lemma 1: Part (i) of Lemma 1 is trivial. By assumptions (A1) and (A2) we deduce the identities

$$r_n(1) = -1 + 2^{\beta - 1} \frac{L_0(\frac{2}{n})}{L_0(\frac{1}{n})} ,$$

$$r_n(j) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(j + \frac{\theta_j^n}{n} \right)^{\beta - 2} \frac{L_2(\frac{j + \theta_j^n}{n})}{L_0(\frac{1}{n})} , \qquad j \ge 2 ,$$

where θ_j^n are some real numbers with $|\theta_j^n| < 1$. Recall assumption (A3) and set $a = 1-b \in (0,1)$. When *n* is large enough we have $r_n(1) < 1$ (because L_0 is a slowly varying function and $\beta \in (0,2)$) and for $2 \le j \le [n^a]$ we obtain

$$|r_n(j)| \le C(j-1)^{\beta-2}$$

by assumption (A3). For $[n^a] \leq j \leq n$ we obtain by (2.7) the following approximation

$$\begin{aligned} |r_n(j+1)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} j^{\beta-2} \frac{L_2(\frac{j+\theta_j^n}{n})}{L_0(\frac{1}{n})} \\ &\leq j^{\beta-2+\epsilon} n^{-a\epsilon} \frac{L_2(\frac{j+\theta_j^n}{n})}{L_0(\frac{1}{n})} \leq C j^{\beta-2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, assertion (ii) follows.

Next, by assumption (A1) and (7.2) we obtain the formula

$$r_n(j) = \frac{(j-1)^{\beta} L_0(\frac{j-1}{n}) - 2j^{\beta} L_0(\frac{j}{n}) + (j+1)^{\beta} L_0(\frac{j+1}{n})}{2L_0(\frac{1}{n})} , \qquad j \ge 1.$$

We can readily deduce part (iii), because the function L_0 is slowly varying.

Next, assume that $0 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$. We use $0 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{2} - \beta$ in the definition (7.3). Since $\beta + \epsilon - 2 < -\frac{1}{2}$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^l(j) < \infty$$

for any $l \ge 2$, by part (i). By parts (ii), (iii) and the dominated convergence theorem we obtain (iv), and the proof is complete.

Proof of Proposition 1: We first show the pointwise convergence $V(G,p)_t^n \xrightarrow{P} \mu_p t$. Recall the identity

$$E[H_k(U_1)H_l(U_2)] = \delta_{k,l}\rho^l l! , \qquad (U_1, U_2) \sim N\left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) , \qquad (7.4)$$

where $\delta_{k,l}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. For any t > 0 we have

$$E[V(G,p)_t^n] = \mu_p t + O(n^{-1})$$
(7.5)

and by (7.4), (5.1) and (5.2) we obtain the identity

$$\operatorname{Var}(V(G,p)_{t}^{n}) = \frac{(\mu_{2p} - \mu_{p}^{2})[nt]}{n^{2}} + \frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]-1} ([nt] - j) \operatorname{Cov}\left(\left|\frac{\Delta_{1}^{n}G}{\tau_{n}}\right|^{p}, \left|\frac{\Delta_{1+j}^{n}G}{\tau_{n}}\right|^{p}\right).$$
$$= \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} l! a_{l}^{2} b_{ln} + O(n^{-1}) ,$$

where the coefficients a_l are given by (5.2) and the constants b_{ln} are defined by

$$b_{ln} = \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]-1} ([nt] - j) r_n^l(j).$$
(7.6)

By (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1 we deduce (for $n \ge n_0$) that

$$|b_{ln}| \le \frac{2t}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]-1} r^l(j) \le \frac{2t}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]-1} r^2(j) \to 0 , \qquad (7.7)$$

for any $l \geq 2$. This implies the pointwise convergence

$$V(G,p)_t^n \xrightarrow{P} \mu_p t.$$

The ucp convergence follows immediately, because $V(G, p)_t^n$ is increasing in t and the limit process $\mu_p t$ is continuous.

Proof of Theorem 2: The basic idea behind the proof of Theorem 2 is the approximation of the process $(\phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ by a sequence of step functions and the application of Proposition 1. In [15] a proof of (3.3) is given for the case of fractional Brownian motion, and we will basically follow their ideas.

Consider first the case $p \leq 1$. For any $m \geq n$, we obtain the decomposition

$$V(X,p)_t^n - \mu_p \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \, ds = A_t^{(m)} + B_t^{(n,m)} + C_t^{(n,m)} + D_t^{(n)} \, ,$$

where

$$A_t^{(m)} = \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} \left(|\Delta_i^m X|^p - |\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} \Delta_i^m G|^p \right),$$
(7.8)

$$B_t^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} |\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} \Delta_i^m G|^p - \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p \right) ,$$

$$C_t^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p - \mu_p n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p ,$$

$$D_t^{(n)} = \mu_p \left(n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[m]} |\phi_{j-1}|^p - \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \, ds \right) \,,$$

and

$$I_n(j) = \left\{ i \mid \frac{i}{m} \in \left(\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right] \right\}, \qquad j \ge 1.$$

For any fixed $n, C_t^{(n,m)}$ converges in probability to 0, uniformly in t, as $m \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |C_t^{(n,m)}| \le \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \Big| \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p - \mu_p n^{-1} \Big| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

thanks to the uniform convergence $V(G, p)_t^m \xrightarrow{ucp} \mu_p t$. Next, observe that the number of jumps of $|\phi_t|^p$ that are bigger than ε is finite (on compact intervals), because $|\phi_t|^p$ is regulated. This implies

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |D_t^{(n)}| \le \mu_p n^{-1} \Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sup_{s \in (\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]} ||\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p - |\phi_s|^p| \Big) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

as $n \to 0$. For the term $B_t^{(n,m)}$ we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{split} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |B_t^{(n,m)}| &\le \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} ||\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p - |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{m}}|^p ||\Delta_i^m G|^p \\ &+ \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{mn^{-1}[nt] \le i \le mn^{-1}([nt]+1)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p \\ &\le \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sup_{s \in (\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]} ||\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p - |\phi_s|^p| \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p \\ &+ \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{mn^{-1}[nt] \le i \le mn^{-1}([nt]+1)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p. \end{split}$$

By Proposition 1, the latter expression converges in probability to

$$E_n = \mu_p n^{-1} \left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sup_{s \in (\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]} ||\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p - |\phi_s|^p| \right)$$

as $m \to \infty$. As above, we obtain $E_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

For the term $A_t^{(m)}$, we deduce by Young's inequality (for $p \leq 1$)

$$\begin{split} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |A_t^{(m)}| &\le \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \Big| \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} \left(|\Delta_i^m X|^p - |\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} \Delta_i^m G|^p \right) \Big| \\ &\le \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i=1}^{[mT]} \Big| \Delta_i^m X - \phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} \Delta_i^m G \Big|^p \\ &\le \frac{C}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i=1}^{[mT]} \Big| \operatorname{var}_q \Big(\phi; \Big(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \Big] \Big) \operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2} - \varepsilon)} \Big(G; \Big(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \Big] \Big) \Big|^p = CF_T^{(m)} , \end{split}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < \beta/2$. Next, we fix $\delta > 0$ and consider the decomposition

$$F_T^{(m)} \leq \frac{1}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i:\operatorname{var}_q(\phi;(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m}])>\delta} \left|\operatorname{var}_q\left(\phi;\left(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m}\right]\right)\operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2}-\varepsilon)}\left(G;\left(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m}\right]\right)\right|^p + \frac{\delta^p}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i=1}^{[mT]} \left|\operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2}-\varepsilon)}\left(G;\left(\frac{i-1}{m},\frac{i}{m}\right]\right)\right|^p$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{[mT]} \left| \operatorname{var}_q \left(\phi; \left(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \right] \right) \right|^q \le \left| \operatorname{var}_q (\phi; [0, T]) \right|^q < \infty ;$$

consequently, the number of indexes *i* for which $\operatorname{var}_q\left(\phi; \left(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m}\right]\right) > \delta$ is bounded by $|\operatorname{var}_q(\phi; [0, T])|^q / \delta^q$. Recalling (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$F_T^{(m)} \leq \frac{|\operatorname{var}_q(\phi; [0, T])|^{q+p}}{m\tau_m^p \delta^q} \max_{1 \leq i \leq [mT]} \left| \operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2} - \varepsilon)} \left(G; \left(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \right] \right) \right|^p + \frac{\delta^p}{m\tau_m^p} \sum_{i=1}^{[mT]} \left| \operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2} - \varepsilon)} \left(G; \left(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \right] \right) \right|^p \leq C \left(\frac{|\operatorname{var}_q(\phi; [0, T])|^{q+p}}{m\tau_m^p \delta^q} m^{-p(\frac{\beta}{2} - \varepsilon)} + \frac{\delta^p}{\tau_m^p} m^{-p(\frac{\beta}{2} - \varepsilon)} \right).$$

Choose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2p}$, $\varepsilon < \alpha < \frac{1}{p} - \epsilon$ and set $\delta = m^{-\alpha}$. By (2.7) we deduce that

$$F_T^{(m)} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$
,

which completes the proof of Theorem 2 for $p \leq 1$.

For p > 1 we use Minkowski's inequality to obtain the approximation

$$\begin{split} \Big| \Big(V(X,p)_t^m \Big)^{1/p} - \Big(\mu_p \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \, ds \Big)^{1/p} \Big| &\leq \frac{1}{m^{1/p} \tau_m} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor mt \rfloor} \left| \Delta_i^m X - \phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} \Delta_i^m G \right|^p \Big)^{1/p} \\ &+ \frac{1}{m^{1/p} \tau_m} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |(\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} - \phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}) \Delta_i^m G|^p \Big)^{1/p} \\ &+ \Big| \frac{1}{m^{1/p} \tau_m} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p \Big)^{1/p} - \Big(\mu_p n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \Big)^{1/p} \Big| \\ &+ \mu_p^{1/p} \Big| \Big(n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \Big)^{1/p} - \Big(\int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \, ds \Big)^{1/p} \Big|. \end{split}$$

By the same methods as presented above we obtain the assertion of Theorem 2 for p > 1. \Box

Proof of Theorem 6: We set

$$Z_t^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} H\left(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n}\right).$$
(7.9)

Step 1: Let us show the tightness of the sequence of processes (G_t, Z_t^n) . For any t > s we have

$$E[(Z_t^n - Z_s^n)^4] = \frac{1}{n^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} H\left(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n}\right)\right)^4\right].$$

By Proposition 4.2 in [28] and part (iv) of Lemma 1 we know that, for any $N \ge 1$,

$$\frac{1}{N^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N H\left(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n}\right)\right)^4\right] \le C\left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty r_n^2(i)\right)^2 \to C\left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty \rho^2(i)\right)^2.$$

Since the process G has stationary increments, we obtain

$$E[(Z_t^n - Z_s^n)^4] \le C \Big| \frac{[nt] - [ns]}{n} \Big|^2.$$

For any $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$E[(Z_{t_2}^n - Z_t^n)^2 (Z_t^n - Z_{t_1}^n)^2] \le C\Big(\frac{[nt_2] - [nt]}{n}\Big)\Big(\frac{[nt] - [nt_1]}{n}\Big) \le C(t_2 - t_1).$$

The tightness of (G_t, Z_t^n) follows now by Theorem 15.6 in [13].

Step 2: Finally, we need to prove the convergence of finite dimensional distributions of (G_t, Z_t^n) . Define the vector $Y_n = (Y_n^1, \dots, Y_n^d)^T$ by

$$Y_{n}^{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=[na_{k}]+1}^{[nb_{k}]} H\left(\frac{\Delta_{i}^{n}G}{\tau_{n}}\right) , \qquad (7.10)$$

where $(a_k, b_k]$, k = 1, ..., d, are disjoint intervals contained in [0, T]. Clearly, it suffices to prove that

$$\left(G_{b_k} - G_{a_k}, Y_n^k\right)_{1 \le k \le d} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \left(G_{b_k} - G_{a_k}, \tau(W_{b_k} - W_{a_k})\right)_{1 \le k \le d},$$

where τ is given by (5.4).

Next, we want to apply Theorem 5. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the first Wiener chaos associated with the triangular array $(\Delta_j^n G/\tau_n)_{n\geq 1,1\leq j\leq [nt]}$, i.e the closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ generated by the random variables $(\Delta_j^n G/\tau_n)_{n\geq 1,1\leq j\leq [nt]}$. Notice that \mathcal{H}_1 can be seen as a separable Hilbert space with a scalar product induced by the covariance function of the process $(\Delta_j^n G/\tau_n)_{n\geq 1,1\leq j\leq [nt]}$. This means we can apply the theory of Section 4 with the canonical Hilbert space $I\!H = \mathcal{H}_1$. Denote by \mathcal{H}_m the *m*th Wiener chaos associated with the triangular array $(\Delta_j^n G/\tau_n)_{n\geq 1,1\leq j\leq [nt]}$ and by I_m the corresponding linear isometry between the symmetric tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1^{\odot m}$ (equipped with the norm $\sqrt{m!} \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes m}}$) and the *m*th Wiener chaos. Finally, we will denote by J_m the projection operator on the *m*th Wiener chaos.

Since

$$E[(G_{b_k} - G_{a_k})Y_n^l] = 0$$

for any $1 \leq k, l \leq d$ (because H is an even function), it is sufficient to check the following conditions.

- (i) For any $m \geq 1$ and k = 1, ..., d, the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} E[|J_m Y_n^k|^2] = \tau_{m,k}^2$ exists and $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sup_n E[|J_m Y_n^k|^2] < \infty$,
- (ii) For any $m \ge 1$ and $k \ne h$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} E[J_m Y_n^k J_m Y_n^h] = 0$,
- (iii) For any $m \ge 1$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$ and $1 \le p \le m 1$, we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I_m^{-1} J_m Y_n^k \otimes_p I_m^{-1} J_m Y_n^k = 0.$$

Under conditions (i)-(iii) we then obtain (by Theorem 5) the central limit theorem

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_d \Big(0, \tau^2 \operatorname{diag}(b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_d - a_d) \Big) ,$$
 (7.11)

where τ^2 is given by (5.4). Since the increments of the process G are stationary we will prove part (i) and (iii) only for k = 1, $a_1 = 0$ and $b_1 = 1$.

(i) We have

$$J_m Y_n^1 = \frac{a_m}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n H_m \Big(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n} \Big).$$

Hence, we obtain (see (7.4))

$$E[|J_m Y_n^1|^2] = m! a_m^2 \left(1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-i}{n} r_n^m(i)\right)$$

By part (iv) of Lemma 1 we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E[|J_m Y_n^1|^2] = m! a_m^2 \left(1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^m(i)\right) ,$$

and

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sup_{n} E[|J_m Y_n^1|^2] < \infty.$$

Furthermore, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n H\left(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n}\right)\right)^2\right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=2}^\infty E[|J_m Y_n^1|^2] = \tau^2.$$

(ii) For any $1 \le k, h \le d$ with $b_k \le a_h$ we have

$$E[J_m Y_n^k J_m Y_n^h] = \frac{m! a_m^2}{n} \sum_{j=[na_k]+1}^{[nb_k]} \sum_{i=[na_h]+1}^{[nb_h]} r_n^m (i-j).$$

Assume w.l.o.g. that $a_k = 0$, $b_k = a_h = 1$ and $b_h = 2$ (the case $b_k < a_h$ is much easier). By part (ii) of Lemma 1 with $0 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{2} - \beta$ in the definition of r (see (7.3)) we obtain the approximation

$$\left| E[J_m Y_n^k J_m Y_n^h] \right| \le m! a_m^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n j r^m(j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} r^m(n+j) \right).$$

It follows that $r^m(j) \leq (j-1)^{-1-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$ and for all $m, j \geq 2$. Hence, we obtain

$$E[J_m Y_n^k J_m Y_n^h] \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

(iii) Fix $1 \le p \le m - 1$. We obtain the identity

$$\begin{split} I_m^{-1} J_m Y_n^1 \widetilde{\otimes}_p I_m^{-1} J_m Y_n^1 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le j, i \le n} \left(\frac{\Delta_j^n G}{\tau_n} \right)^{\otimes m} \widetilde{\otimes}_p \left(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n} \right)^{\otimes m} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le j, i \le n} r_n^p (|j-i|) \left(\left(\frac{\Delta_j^n G}{\tau_n} \right)^{\otimes (m-p)} \widetilde{\otimes} \left(\frac{\Delta_i^n G}{\tau_n} \right)^{\otimes (m-p)} \right), \end{split}$$

where " \sim " denotes the symmetrization. Consequently, we need to prove that the quantity

$$n^{-2} \sum_{1 \le j,l,h,k \le n} r_n^p(|j-l|) r_n^p(|h-k|) \times \left\langle \left(\frac{\Delta_j^n G}{\tau_n}\right)^{\otimes (m-p)} \widetilde{\otimes} \left(\frac{\Delta_l^n G}{\tau_n}\right)^{\otimes (m-p)}, \left(\frac{\Delta_h^n G}{\tau_n}\right)^{\otimes (m-p)} \widetilde{\otimes} \left(\frac{\Delta_k^n G}{\tau_n}\right)^{\otimes (m-p)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes 2(m-p)}}$$

converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. It suffices to consider a term of the form

$$n^{-2} \sum_{1 \le j,l,h,k \le n} r_n^p(|j-l|) r_n^p(|h-k|) \times r_n^\alpha(|j-h|) r_n^{m-p-\alpha}(|l-h|) r_n^{m-p-\alpha}(|j-k|) r_n^\alpha(|l-k|),$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq m - p$. The latter term is smaller than

$$n^{-1} \sum_{0 \le j, l, k \le n-1} r_n^p(|j-l|) r_n^p(k) r_n^\alpha(j) r_n^{m-p-\alpha}(l) r_n^{m-p-\alpha}(|j-k|) r_n^\alpha(|l-k|).$$

Without any loss of generality we can assume that p = m - p = 1 and $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. For $\alpha = 0$ and any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we get

$$n^{-1} \sum_{0 \le j \le n-1} \left(\sum_{0 \le l \le n-1} r_n(|j-l|) r_n(l) \right)^2 \le n^{-1} \sum_{0 \le j \le [n\varepsilon]} \left(\sum_{0 \le l \le n-1} r_n(|j-l|) r_n(l) \right)^2 + 2n^{-1} \sum_{[n\varepsilon] < j \le n-1} \left(\sum_{0 \le l \le n-1} r_n(|j-l|) r_n(l) \right)^2 + 2n^{-1} \sum_{[n\varepsilon] < j \le n-1} \left(\sum_{[n\varepsilon/2] < l \le n-1} r_n(|j-l|) r_n(l) \right)^2 \le 2\varepsilon \left(\sum_{0 \le l < n-1} r_n(l)^2 \right)^2 + 6 \sum_{0 \le l < n-1} r_n(l)^2 \sum_{[n\varepsilon/2] < l < \infty} r_n(l)^2$$

which converges to $2\varepsilon \left(\sum_{0 \le l < \infty} \rho^2(l)\right)^2$ as $n \to \infty$ by Lemma 1. The desired result follows by letting ε tend to zero. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 7: Theorem 7 is deduced from Theorem 6 by the same methods as presented in [15] (see Theorem 4 therein).

For any $m \ge n$ we obtain the decomposition

$$\sqrt{m} \Big(V(X,p)_t^m - \mu_p \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p \, ds \Big) = \sqrt{m} (A_t^{(m)} + \tilde{B}_t^{(n,m)} + C_t^{(n,m)} + D_t^{(m)}) \, ds \Big)$$

where $A_t^{(m)}$, $C_t^{(n,m)}$ and $D_t^{(m)}$ are defined in (7.8) and $\tilde{B}_t^{(n,m)}$ is given by

$$\tilde{B}_{t}^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{m\tau_{m}^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} |\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}} \Delta_{i}^{m} G|^{p} - \mu_{p} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} |\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}}|^{p} - \frac{1}{m\tau_{m}^{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^{p} \sum_{i \in I_{n}(j)} |\Delta_{i}^{m} G|^{p} + \mu_{p} n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^{p}.$$

We first prove the stable convergence for the term $\sqrt{m}C_t^{(n,m)}$. Define

$$Y_{n,m}^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_m^p} \sum_{i \in I_n(j)} |\Delta_i^m G|^p - \frac{\sqrt{m}}{n} \mu_p.$$

For any fixed n, we obtain by Theorem 6 and the properties of stable convergence

$$\left(|\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p, Y_{n,m}^j\right)_{1 \le j \le [nt]} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^G - st} \left(|\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p, \tau \Delta_j^n W\right)_{1 \le j \le [nt]}$$

as $m \to \infty$. Hence,

$$\sqrt{m}C_t^{(n,m)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^G - st} \tau \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \Delta_j^n W.$$

For the latter we have

$$\tau \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p \Delta_j^n W \xrightarrow{ucp} \tau \int_0^t |\phi_s|^p dW_s.$$

Now, we show that the other terms are negligible. Recalling that ϕ is Hölder continuous of order a we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{m} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |D_t^{(m)}| &\leq \frac{\mu_p}{\sqrt{m}} \Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} ||\phi_{\frac{j-1}{m}}|^p - |\phi_{\tilde{t}_{j-1}}|^p| \Big) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_p}{\sqrt{m}} \Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p + (p \lor 1) \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^{(p-1)_+} \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{m}} - \phi_{\tilde{t}_{j-1}}|^{p \land 1} \Big) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_p}{\sqrt{m}} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p + \mu_p T(p \lor 1) ||\phi||_a^{p \land 1} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^{(p-1)_+} m^{1/2 - a(p \land 1)} \;, \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{t}_{j-1}^m \in (\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m})$. Hence

$$\sqrt{m} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |D_t^{(m)}| \xrightarrow{P} 0 ,$$

because $a(p \wedge 1) > \frac{1}{2}$. For the term $\sqrt{m}\tilde{B}_t^{(n,m)}$ we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{m}|\tilde{B}_{t}^{(n,m)}| = \Big|\sum_{j=1}^{[nt]}\sum_{i\in I_{n}(j)}|\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}}|^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_{m}^{p}}|\Delta_{i}^{m}G|^{p} - \frac{\mu_{p}}{\sqrt{m}}\Big) \\ &-\sum_{j=1}^{[nt]}|\phi_{\frac{i-1}{n}}|^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_{m}^{p}}\sum_{i\in I_{n}(j)}|\Delta_{i}^{m}G|^{p} - \frac{\sqrt{m}}{n}\mu_{p}\Big) + \sum_{i\geq \frac{m}{n}[nt]}^{[mt]}|\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}}|^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_{m}^{p}}|\Delta_{i}^{m}G|^{p} - \frac{\mu_{p}}{\sqrt{m}}\Big)\Big| \\ &\leq \Big|\sum_{j=1}^{[nt]}|\phi_{\tilde{s}}|^{p}\sum_{i\in I_{n}(j)}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_{m}^{p}}|\Delta_{i}^{m}G|^{p} - \frac{\mu_{p}}{\sqrt{m}}\Big) - \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]}|\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_{m}^{p}}\sum_{i\in I_{n}(j)}|\Delta_{i}^{m}G|^{p} - \frac{\sqrt{m}}{n}\mu_{p}\Big)\Big| \\ &+ \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\sum_{i\geq \frac{m}{n}[nt]}\Big||\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}}|^{p}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_{m}^{p}}|\Delta_{i}^{m}G|^{p} - \frac{\mu_{p}}{\sqrt{m}}\Big)\Big| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sup_{s \in (\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]} ||\phi_s|^p - |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p||Y_{n,m}^j| + \frac{\mu_p}{\sqrt{m}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi_t|^p \\ + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \Big| \sum_{i \geq \frac{m}{n}[nt]}^{[mt]} |\phi_{\frac{i-1}{m}}|^p \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_m^p} |\Delta_i^m G|^p - \frac{\mu_p}{\sqrt{m}}\Big) \Big| ,$$

where $\tilde{s} \in \left(\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right]$. Then, by Theorem 6, we obtain

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} P(\sqrt{m} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\tilde{B}_t^{(n,m)}| > \epsilon) \le P\left(\tau \sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sup_{s \in (\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]} ||\phi_s|^p - |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p ||\Delta_j^n W| - \frac{\tau}{n} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^p \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |W_t - W_{[nt]/n}| > \epsilon\right)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Since ϕ is Hölder continuous of order a with $a(p \wedge 1) > 1/2$ it holds, for any $\delta > 0$, that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{[nT]} \sup_{s \in (\frac{j-2}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]} ||\phi_s|^p - |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{n}}|^p ||\Delta_j^n W| \le (p \vee 1)C||\phi||_a^{(p \wedge 1)} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^{(p-1)_+} n^{-a(p \wedge 1) + 1/2 + \delta} ,$$

which converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$ if δ is small enough. This implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{m \to \infty} P(\sqrt{m} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\tilde{B}_t^{(n,m)}| > \epsilon).$$

Finally, let us show that $\sqrt{m} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |A_t^{(m)}| \xrightarrow{P} 0$. We have

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{m} |A_t^{(m)}| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_m^p} (p \vee 1) 2^{(p-2)_+} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} |\phi_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \Delta_j^m G|^{(p-1)_+} \left| \Delta_j^m X - \phi_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \Delta_j^m G \right|^{p \wedge 1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}\tau_m^p} (p \vee 1) 2^{(p-2)_+} \sum_{j=1}^{[mt]} \left| \Delta_j^m X - \phi_{\frac{j-1}{m}} \Delta_j^m G \right|^p. \end{split}$$

By (2.9) and Young's inequality we deduce (as in Theorem 2)

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{m} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |A_t^{(m)}| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{m}\tau_m^p} \Big(m^{-(\frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon)(p-1)_+} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^{(p-1)_+} \\ &\times \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} \Big| \operatorname{var}_{1/a} \Big(\phi; \Big(\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m} \Big] \Big) \operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon)} \Big(G; \Big(\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m} \Big] \Big) \Big|^{p \wedge 1} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{[mT]} \Big| \operatorname{var}_{1/a} \Big(\phi; \Big(\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m} \Big] \Big) \operatorname{var}_{1/(\frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon)} \Big(G; \Big(\frac{j-1}{m}, \frac{j}{m} \Big] \Big) \Big|^p \Big) \\ &\le \frac{C}{\sqrt{m}\tau_m^p} \Big(m^{-(\frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon)(p-1)_+ - (p \wedge 1)(\frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon + a) + 1} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\phi_t|^{(p-1)_+} + m^{-p(\frac{\beta}{2} - \epsilon + a) + 1} \Big) \Big|^p \Big) \end{split}$$

which converges to 0 as $m \to \infty$, provided $\epsilon < p^{-1}(a(p \wedge 1) - \frac{1}{2})$. This completes the proof. \Box

References

- D.J. Aldous, G.K. Eagleson, On mixing and stability of limit theorems, Ann. Probab. (1978) 6(2), 325-331.
- [2] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, S.E. Graversen, J. Jacod, M. Podolskij, N. Shephard, A central limit theorem for realised power and bipower variations of continuous semimartingales, in: Yu. Kabanov, R. Liptser and J. Stoyanov (Eds.), From Stochastic Calculus to Mathematical Finance. Festschrift in Honour of A.N. Shiryaev, Heidelberg: Springer, 2006, pp. 33-68.
- [3] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, S.E. Graversen, J. Jacod, N. Shephard, Limit theorems for bipower variation in financial econometrics, Econometric Theory 2006(22) 677-719.
- [4] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, J. Schmiegel, Time change, volatility and turbulence, Thiele Research Report 12/2007.
- [5] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, Realised power variation and stochastic volatility models, Bernoulli 2003(9) 243-265.
- [6] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, Power and bipower variation with stochastic volatility and jumps (with discussion), J. Fin. Econometrics 2004(2) 1-48.
- [7] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, Econometric analysis of realised covariation: high frequency covariance, regression and correlation in financial economics, Econometrica 2004(72) 885-925.
- [8] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, Impact of jumps on returns and realised variances: econometric analysis of time-deformed Lévy processes, J. Econometrics 2006(131) 217-252.
- [9] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, Variation, jumps, market frictions and high frequency data in financial econometrics, in R. Blundell, T. Persson and W.K. Newey (Eds.), Advances in Economics and Econometrics. Theory and Applications, Ninth World Congress, Econometric Society Monographs, Cambridge University Press 2007, pp. 328-372.
- [10] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, M. Winkel, Limit theorems for multipower variation in the presence of jumps, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 2006(116) 796-806.
- [11] A. Basse, Gaussian moving averages and semimartingales, Unpublished paper, Dept. Math. Sciences, University of Aarhus, 2007.
- [12] A. Basse, Spectral representations of Gaussian semimartingales, Unpublished paper, Dept. Math. Sciences, University of Aarhus, 2007.

- [13] P. Billingsley, Convergence of probability measures, New York: Wiley 1968.
- [14] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, J.L. Teugels, Regular variation, Cambridge University Press 1987.
- [15] J.M. Corcuera, D. Nualart, J.H.C. Woerner, Power variation of some integral fractional processes, Bernoulli 2006 12(4), 713-735.
- [16] T. Geiting, M. Schlather, Stochastic models that separate fractal dimension and the Hurst effect, SIAM Review 2004(46) 269-282.
- [17] L. Guyon, J. Leon, Convergence en loi des H-variations d'un processus gaussien stationnaire sur IR, Annales de l'I.H.P. 1989(B25) 265-282.
- [18] Y. Hu, D. Nualart, Renormalized self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion, Ann. Probab. 2005(33) 948-983.
- [19] J. Jacod, Asymptotic properties of realized power variations and related functionals of semimartingales, To appear in Stoch. Proc. Appl., 2006.
- [20] J. Jacod, A.N. Shiryaev, Limit theorems for stochastic processes, Springer, Berlin 2003.
- [21] S. Kinnebrock, M. Podolskij, A note on the central limit theorem for bipower variation of general functions, To appear in Stoch. Proc. Appl., 2007.
- [22] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, Non-central convergence of multiple integrals, Working paper, 2007.
- [23] D. Nualart, The Malliavin calculus and related topics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2006, 2nd edition.
- [24] D. Nualart, G. Peccati, Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals, Ann. Probab. 2005(33) 177-193.
- [25] D. Nualart, S. Ortiz-Latorre, Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus, To appear in Stoch. Proc. Appl., 2007.
- [26] G. Peccati, C.A. Tudor, Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals, in: M. Emery, M. Ledoux and M. Yor (eds), Seminaire de Probabilites XXXVIII, Lecture Notes in Math 1857, pp. 247-262. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2005.
- [27] A. Renyi, On stable sequences of events, Sankhya 1963(A25) 293-302.
- [28] M.S. Taqqu, Law of iterated logarithm for sums of non-linear functions of Gaussian variables that exhibit a long range dependence, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb. 1977(40) 203-238.

- [29] J.H.C. Woerner, Variational sums and power variation: a unifying approach to model selection and estimation in semimartingale models, Statist. Decisions 2003(21) 47-68.
- [30] J.H.C. Woerner, Estimation of integrated volatility in stochastic volatility models, Appl. Stochastic Models Business Industry 2005(21) 27-44.
- [31] L.C. Young, An inequality of the Hölder type connected with Stieltjes integration, Acta Math. 1936(67) 251-282.

Research Papers 2007



- 2007-29 Niels Haldrup, Frank S. Nielsen and Morten Ørregaard Nielsen: A Vector Autoregressive Model for Electricity Prices Subject to Long Memory and Regime Switching
- 2007-30 Bent Jesper Christensen, Thomas Elgaard Jensen and Rune Mølgaard: Market Power in Power Markets: Evidence from Forward Prices of Electricity
- 2007-31 Tom Engsted, Stuart Hyde and Stig V. Møller: Habit Formation, Surplus Consumption and Return Predictability: International Evidence
- 2007-32 Søren Johansen: Some identification problems in the cointegrated vector autoregressive model
- 2007-33 Søren Johansen and Morten Ørregaard Nielsen: Likelihood inference for a nonstationary fractional autoregressive model
- 2007-34 Charlotte Christiansen and Angelo Ranaldo: Extreme Coexceedances in New EU Member States' Stock Markets
- 2007-35 Søren Johansen: Correlation, regression, and cointegration of nonstationary economic time
- 2007-35 David F. Hendry, Søren Johansen and Carlos Santos: Selecting a Regression Saturated by Indicators
- 2007-37 Peter Christoffersen, Kris Jacobs and Karim Mimouni: Models for S&P500 Dynamics: Evidence from Realized Volatility, Daily Returns, and Option Prices
- 2007-38 Dennis Kristensen and Anders Rahbek: Likelihood-Based Inference in Nonlinear Error-Correction Models
- 2007-39 Peter Christoffersen, Kris Jacobs and Gregory Vainberg: Forward-Looking Betas
- 2007-40 Olav Bjerkholt: Trygve Haavelmo's visit in Aarhus 1938-39
- 2007-41 Søren Johansen and Anders Rygh Swensen: Exact rational expectations, cointegration, and reduced rank regression
- 2007-42 Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen, José Manuel Corcuera and Mark Podolskij: Power variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments